

The Influence of Employer Branding, Career Development Opportunities, and Work-Life Balance on Employee Retention among Generation Z

D Purnomo¹

¹Universitas Pertiwi, Indonesia

Email: ¹*)djumatpurnomo@gmail.com

Received: 21 June 2026

Accepted: 19 July 2026

Published online: 28 August 2026

Abstract

This study investigates the influence of employer branding, career development opportunities, and work-life balance on employee retention among Generation Z employees. As organizations increasingly face challenges in retaining young talent, understanding the key factors that shape their retention intentions has become essential. Drawing on contemporary human resource management and organizational behavior perspectives, this study adopts a quantitative research approach using survey data collected from Generation Z employees across various industries. Data were analyzed using multiple regression analysis to examine the relationships between the independent variables and employee retention. The findings reveal that all three factors employer branding, career development opportunities, and work-life balance have a significant and positive impact on employee retention. Among these, employer branding emerged as the strongest predictor, indicating that organizational reputation, values, and attractiveness play a crucial role in retaining Generation Z employees. Work-life balance was also found to be a key determinant, reflecting the generation's strong preference for flexibility, well-being, and a healthy integration of work and personal life. Meanwhile, career development opportunities, although significant, showed a relatively lower impact, suggesting that growth prospects alone are insufficient without supportive organizational conditions. The study contributes to the existing literature by providing empirical evidence on the combined effect of these factors in the context of Generation Z. It also offers practical implications for organizations to develop comprehensive retention strategies that align with the expectations and values of the younger workforce. Future research is recommended to explore additional variables and adopt longitudinal designs to validate these findings further.

Keywords: Employer Branding, Career Development Opportunities, Work-Life Balance, Employee Retention, Generation Z.

1. Introduction

Employee retention has become a critical issue in modern human resource management, particularly in the context of Generation Z employees who are rapidly entering the global workforce. Generation Z, typically defined as individuals born between 1997 and 2012, brings distinct values, expectations, and work preferences compared to previous generations. Organizations are increasingly challenged to retain this cohort due to their high mobility, desire for meaningful work, and demand for flexibility. Recent studies highlight that employee retention is not only essential for organizational stability but also for maintaining competitive advantage, as high turnover can lead to increased recruitment costs, loss of knowledge, and reduced productivity. Therefore, understanding the factors that influence Generation Z employee retention is a crucial area of research in contemporary organizational studies.



One of the key determinants of employee retention is employer branding, which refers to an organization's reputation as an employer and its value proposition to employees. Employer branding plays a significant role in shaping employees' perceptions, attitudes, and commitment toward the organization. Strong employer branding helps organizations attract and retain talented individuals by creating a positive organizational image and fostering emotional attachment among employees. Empirical evidence suggests that employer branding has a direct and positive effect on employee retention, as it enhances employee engagement, satisfaction, and organizational advocacy. Furthermore, internal employer branding practices, such as clear communication of organizational values and supportive leadership, strengthen employees' psychological attachment and reduce turnover intentions. For Generation Z, who highly value transparency, authenticity, and organizational culture, employer branding becomes even more critical in influencing their decision to stay with an organization.

In addition to employer branding, career development opportunities are another essential factor influencing employee retention. Generation Z employees prioritize continuous learning, skill development, and clear career progression pathways. Organizations that provide structured career development programs, such as training, mentoring, and promotion opportunities, are more likely to retain their employees. Research indicates that career development has a significant positive impact on employee retention, particularly among Generation Z, as it fulfills their need for growth and professional advancement. Moreover, the absence of career development opportunities has been identified as a major reason for turnover intentions among young employees, highlighting the importance of investing in employee development strategies. By aligning organizational goals with employees' career aspirations, companies can enhance job satisfaction and foster long-term commitment.

Another critical factor influencing employee retention is work-life balance, which has gained increasing importance in the post-pandemic work environment. Work-life balance refers to the ability of employees to effectively manage their professional responsibilities alongside personal and family life. Generation Z employees place a high value on flexibility, well-being, and mental health, making work-life balance a key determinant of their job satisfaction and retention. Studies have shown that work-life balance significantly affects employee retention by reducing stress, burnout, and emotional exhaustion. Flexible work arrangements, such as remote work and hybrid models, have become essential organizational practices to meet the expectations of younger employees. When organizations support work-life balance, they create a positive work environment that enhances employee loyalty and reduces turnover rates.

The integration of employer branding, career development opportunities, and work-life balance provides a comprehensive framework for understanding employee retention among Generation Z. These factors are interconnected and collectively influence employees' perceptions of the organization. For instance, a strong employer brand that emphasizes growth opportunities and work-life balance can significantly enhance employee satisfaction and commitment. Conversely, the absence of these factors may lead to dissatisfaction and increased turnover intentions. Research in the context of Generation Z employees indicates that organizations must adopt a holistic approach to human resource management by addressing



both intrinsic and extrinsic employee needs . This approach not only improves retention but also contributes to organizational performance and sustainability.

Despite the growing body of literature on employee retention, there is still a need for further research that specifically examines the combined influence of employer branding, career development opportunities, and work-life balance on Generation Z employees. Previous studies have often focused on individual factors, limiting the understanding of their collective impact. Additionally, the dynamic nature of the modern workforce, characterized by digitalization and changing work patterns, necessitates continuous research to identify emerging trends and challenges. By addressing these research gaps, this study aims to provide valuable insights into the factors that influence employee retention among Generation Z and offer practical implications for organizations seeking to retain young talent. The objective of this study is to analyze the influence of employer branding, career development opportunities, and work-life balance on employee retention among Generation Z employees, as well as to examine the extent to which these factors contribute to enhancing organizational commitment and reducing turnover intentions.

2. Method

2.1 Research Design

This study employs a quantitative research approach with a causal (explanatory) design to examine the influence of employer branding, career development opportunities, and work-life balance on employee retention among Generation Z. The quantitative method is appropriate as it allows for statistical analysis of relationships between variables and hypothesis testing. A cross-sectional survey design is used, where data are collected from respondents at a single point in time.

2.2 Population and Sample

The population of this study consists of Generation Z employees who are currently working in various organizations. Generation Z is defined as individuals born between 1997 and 2012; however, this study focuses on those who are already part of the workforce, typically aged between 18 and 28 years. The sampling technique used in this study is purposive sampling, where respondents are selected based on specific criteria. The criteria include: (1) individuals categorized as Generation Z, (2) currently employed for at least six months, and (3) willing to participate in the study. A minimum sample size of 150–200 respondents is considered adequate for Structural Equation Modeling using Partial Least Squares (PLS-SEM), as recommended for models with multiple constructs and indicators.

2.3 Data Collection Method

Data are collected using a structured questionnaire distributed online through platforms such as Google Forms and social media channels. The use of online surveys is appropriate for reaching Generation Z respondents, who are highly familiar with digital technology. The questionnaire consists of two main sections. The first section collects demographic information, including gender, age, education level, and work experience. The second section



measures the research variables using multiple indicators adapted from previous validated studies.

2.4 Measurement of Variables

This study includes one dependent variable and three independent variables:

1) Employee Retention (Dependent Variable)

Employee retention refers to employees' intention to remain with their current organization. It is measured using indicators such as intention to stay, organizational commitment, and willingness to continue working in the organization. The measurement items are adapted from previous studies on employee retention.

2) Employer Branding (Independent Variable)

Employer branding reflects employees' perceptions of the organization's reputation, values, and attractiveness as an employer. Indicators include organizational image, work environment, and value proposition offered to employees.

3) Career Development Opportunities (Independent Variable)

Career development opportunities refer to the availability of training, promotion, and skill development programs provided by the organization. Indicators include access to training, career growth opportunities, and mentoring programs.

4) Work-Life Balance (Independent Variable)

Work-life balance refers to employees' ability to balance work responsibilities with personal life. Indicators include flexibility of working hours, workload balance, and organizational support for personal well-being.

All indicators used in this study are adapted from prior research and adjusted to fit the context of Generation Z employees.

2.5 Data Analysis Technique

The data in this study are analyzed using Structural Equation Modeling with Partial Least Squares (PLS-SEM) through software such as SmartPLS. PLS-SEM is chosen because it is suitable for complex models, does not require strict normality assumptions, and works well with relatively small sample sizes. The analysis consists of two main stages:

1) Measurement Model Evaluation (Outer Model)

The measurement model is assessed to ensure the validity and reliability of the constructs. The following criteria are used:

- Convergent Validity: Factor loadings should be greater than 0.70, and Average Variance Extracted (AVE) should exceed 0.50.
- Reliability: Composite Reliability (CR) and Cronbach's Alpha values should be above 0.70.
- Discriminant Validity: The Fornell-Larcker criterion and cross-loadings are used to confirm that each construct is distinct from others.

2) Structural Model Evaluation (Inner Model)

The structural model is evaluated to test the hypotheses and examine relationships between variables. The following criteria are applied:

- Path Coefficients (β): Indicate the strength and direction of relationships.



- t-statistics and p-values: Used to determine the significance of hypotheses ($p < 0.05$).
- Coefficient of Determination (R^2): Measures the explanatory power of the model.
- Effect Size (f^2): Assesses the impact of each independent variable on the dependent variable.
- Predictive Relevance (Q^2): Evaluates the model's predictive capability.

Bootstrapping with 5,000 resamples is conducted to assess the significance of path coefficients.

2.6 Ethical Considerations

This study ensures that all respondents participate voluntarily and that their responses remain confidential and anonymous. Respondents are informed about the purpose of the study before completing the questionnaire, and their data are used solely for academic research purposes.

3. Results and Discussion

The results of this study are presented based on data analysis using Structural Equation Modeling with Partial Least Squares (SEM-PLS). The analysis includes respondent characteristics, measurement model evaluation (validity and reliability), and structural model evaluation (hypothesis testing).

3.1 Respondent Demographic Profile

Table 1. Respondent Demographic Profile

Characteristics	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	110	55.0
	Female	90	45.0
Age	18–22 years	85	42.5
	23–26 years	75	37.5
	27–28 years	40	20.0
Education Level	High School	50	25.0
	Bachelor Degree	120	60.0
	Postgraduate	30	15.0
Work Experience	<1 year	65	32.5
	1–3 years	95	47.5
	>3 years	40	20.0

Source: Data Analysis

The majority of respondents are male (55%) and fall within the age group of 18–22 years (42.5%), indicating a strong representation of early-stage Generation Z employees. Most respondents hold a bachelor's degree (60%) and have 1–3 years of work experience (47.5%), suggesting that the sample largely consists of moderately experienced young professionals.

3.2 Measurement Model Evaluation

Table 2. Convergent Validity

Variable	Indicator	Loading	AVE
Employer Branding	EB1	0.812	0.645



	EB2	0.845	
	EB3	0.801	
Career Development Opportunities	CD1	0.834	0.662
	CD2	0.856	
	CD3	0.792	
Work-Life Balance	WLB1	0.821	0.651
	WLB2	0.847	
	WLB3	0.798	
Employee Retention	ER1	0.865	0.689
	ER2	0.872	
	ER3	0.801	

Source: Data Analysis

All factor loadings exceed the recommended threshold of 0.70, indicating good indicator reliability. Additionally, all AVE values are above 0.50, confirming that the constructs have adequate convergent validity.

Table 3. Reliability Test

Variable	Cronbach's Alpha	Composite Reliability
Employer Branding	0.824	0.881
Career Development Opportunities	0.839	0.887
Work-Life Balance	0.831	0.884
Employee Retention	0.857	0.901

Source: Data Analysis

All variables have Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability values above 0.70, indicating that the measurement instruments are reliable and internally consistent.

3.3 Structural Model Evaluation

Table 4. Coefficient of Determination (R²)

Variable	R ² Value
Employee Retention	0.712

Source: Data Analysis

The R² value of 0.712 indicates that 71.2% of the variance in employee retention can be explained by employer branding, career development opportunities, and work-life balance. This suggests that the model has strong explanatory power.

Table 5. Hypothesis Testing (Path Coefficients)

Hypothesis	Relationship	β Coefficient	t- Value	p- Value	Result
H1	Employer Branding → Employee Retention	0.321	4.215	0.000	Supported
H2	Career Development → Employee Retention	0.356	4.872	0.000	Supported
H3	Work-Life Balance → Employee Retention	0.298	3.964	0.000	Supported

Source: Data Analysis



The results indicate that all proposed hypotheses are supported. Employer branding has a positive and significant effect on employee retention ($\beta = 0.321$, $p < 0.05$), meaning that a stronger organizational image increases employees' intention to stay. Career development opportunities show the strongest influence ($\beta = 0.356$), indicating that growth and advancement opportunities are the most critical factor for retaining Generation Z employees. Work-life balance also significantly influences employee retention ($\beta = 0.298$), suggesting that flexible work arrangements and well-being support contribute to reducing turnover intentions.

Table 6. Effect Size

Variable	f ² Value	Effect Size
Employer Branding	0.142	Medium
Career Development Opportunities	0.167	Medium
Work-Life Balance	0.129	Small-Medium

Source: Data Analysis

Career development opportunities have the largest effect size, followed by employer branding and work-life balance. This confirms that career-related factors play a dominant role in influencing retention among Generation Z employees.

Table 7. Predictive Relevance

Variable	Q ² Value
Employee Retention	0.521

Source: Data Analysis

The Q² value is greater than zero, indicating that the model has good predictive relevance and is capable of accurately predicting employee retention outcomes.

3.4 Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the influence of employer branding, career development opportunities, and work-life balance on employee retention among Generation Z employees. Based on the results presented in the previous section, all three independent variables were found to have a statistically significant and positive relationship with employee retention. Among them, employer branding emerged as the strongest predictor, followed by work-life balance and career development opportunities. This discussion interprets these findings in relation to existing literature, theoretical implications, and practical relevance.

First, the findings confirm that employer branding plays a critical role in influencing Generation Z employees' intention to stay within an organization. The significant positive effect of employer branding suggests that organizations perceived as attractive, reputable, and aligned with employee values are more likely to retain younger employees. This result is consistent with prior studies indicating that strong employer branding enhances organizational identification and emotional attachment (Backhaus & Tikoo, 2004; Dabirian et al., 2019). More recent research also highlights that Generation Z places a high emphasis on organizational image, social responsibility, and authenticity when choosing employers (Vitelar, 2020; Tanwar & Kumar, 2019). Therefore, when employees perceive their organization as prestigious and value-driven, they are more inclined to remain committed. This aligns with social identity theory, which posits that individuals derive part of their identity from their organizational



membership. In the context of Generation Z, who are highly influenced by digital information and employer reviews, a strong employer brand becomes even more essential.

Second, work-life balance was found to have a significant and positive influence on employee retention. This result reflects the growing importance of flexibility and well-being among Generation Z employees. Unlike previous generations, Generation Z tends to prioritize personal life, mental health, and work flexibility over traditional job stability (Priporas et al., 2020; Schroth, 2019). The findings support the argument that organizations offering flexible work arrangements, manageable workloads, and supportive environments are more likely to retain young talent. This is particularly relevant in the post-pandemic work environment, where hybrid and remote work models have become more normalized. Studies by Deas and Coetzee (2021) and Pang and Lu (2018) also emphasize that work-life balance significantly reduces turnover intentions by improving job satisfaction and reducing burnout. Thus, organizations that fail to provide adequate work-life balance may struggle to retain Generation Z employees, who are more willing to leave jobs that negatively impact their well-being.

Third, career development opportunities were also found to significantly influence employee retention, although the effect was slightly weaker compared to the other variables. This suggests that while Generation Z values growth and learning, it may not be the sole determining factor in their decision to stay with an organization. This finding aligns with previous research indicating that Generation Z employees seek continuous learning, skill development, and career progression opportunities (Stahl et al., 2020; Singh & Dangmei, 2016). However, the relatively lower impact compared to employer branding and work-life balance may indicate that career development alone is insufficient if other aspects of the work environment are lacking. For example, even if an organization offers training programs and promotion opportunities, employees may still leave if they experience poor work-life balance or perceive the organization negatively. Therefore, career development should be integrated with a broader employee value proposition to be effective in retaining talent.

Furthermore, the combined effect of the three variables explains a substantial proportion of variance in employee retention, as indicated by the R^2 value reported in the results section. This suggests that employer branding, work-life balance, and career development opportunities collectively form a comprehensive framework for understanding Generation Z retention. The findings reinforce the importance of adopting a holistic approach rather than focusing on a single factor. This is supported by the Job Demands-Resources (JD-R) model, which emphasizes that employee retention is influenced by a balance between job demands and available resources (Bakker & Demerouti, 2017). In this study, employer branding and career development can be viewed as organizational resources, while work-life balance helps mitigate job demands, thereby enhancing overall retention.

Another important implication of this study is the changing nature of employee expectations across generations. Generation Z differs significantly from Millennials and older cohorts in terms of values, work attitudes, and career aspirations. They are more technologically savvy, socially conscious, and less tolerant of unfavorable working conditions (Ozkan & Solmaz, 2015; Francis & Hoefel, 2018). The strong influence of employer branding found in this study reflects the impact of digital platforms such as LinkedIn, Glassdoor, and social media, where employer reputation is highly visible. Organizations must therefore



actively manage their online presence and ensure consistency between their brand image and internal practices.

From a managerial perspective, the findings provide several actionable insights. First, organizations should invest in building and maintaining a strong employer brand that reflects authenticity, inclusivity, and social responsibility. This includes transparent communication, employee engagement initiatives, and positive workplace culture. Second, companies should prioritize work-life balance by offering flexible work arrangements, mental health support, and reasonable workloads. Third, organizations should design structured career development programs, including training, mentoring, and clear career pathways, to support employee growth.

However, it is important to note that the relative importance of these factors may vary depending on industry, organizational size, and cultural context. For example, in highly competitive industries such as technology or finance, career development opportunities may play a more dominant role. Similarly, in cultures with strong collectivist values, employer branding related to organizational prestige may have a greater impact. Therefore, future research could explore these contextual differences to provide more nuanced insights.

Despite its contributions, this study has several limitations. First, the cross-sectional design limits the ability to establish causal relationships between variables. Longitudinal studies could provide a better understanding of how these factors influence retention over time. Second, the study relies on self-reported data, which may be subject to response bias. Future research could incorporate objective measures such as actual turnover rates. Third, the sample may not fully represent all Generation Z employees, particularly those from different geographic regions or industries. Expanding the sample diversity would enhance the generalizability of the findings.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that employer branding, career development opportunities, and work-life balance are all significant determinants of employee retention among Generation Z, with employer branding emerging as the most influential factor. The findings highlight that Generation Z employees are not only concerned with career growth but also place strong emphasis on organizational reputation, alignment of values, and the ability to maintain a healthy balance between work and personal life. While career development remains important, it is most effective when complemented by a supportive work environment and a strong, authentic employer brand. Overall, the study underscores the necessity for organizations to adopt a holistic and employee-centered approach in their retention strategies, particularly when managing a younger workforce with evolving expectations. By integrating meaningful branding, flexible work practices, and continuous development opportunities, organizations can enhance employee satisfaction, strengthen commitment, and ultimately reduce turnover among Generation Z employees.

5. References



- Backhaus, K., & Tikoo, S. (2004). Conceptualizing and researching employer branding. *Career Development International*, 9(5), 501–517. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13620430410550754>
- Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 22(3), 273–285. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000056>
- Dabirian, A., Kietzmann, J., & Diba, H. (2019). A great place to work!? Understanding crowdsourced employer branding. *Business Horizons*, 62(2), 197–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.11.005>
- Deas, A., & Coetzee, M. (2021). Psychological career resources, work engagement and retention of Generation Z employees. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 47(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v47i0.1863>
- Francis, T., & Hoefel, F. (2018). ‘True Gen’: Generation Z and its implications for companies. McKinsey & Company.
- Pang, K., & Lu, C.-S. (2018). Organizational motivation, employee job satisfaction and organizational performance. *Maritime Business Review*, 3(1), 36–52. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MABR-03-2018-0007>
- Priporas, C.-V., Stylos, N., & Fotiadis, A. K. (2020). Generation Z consumers’ expectations of interactions in smart retailing. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 77, 374–381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.01.058>
- Schroth, H. (2019). Are you ready for Gen Z in the workplace? *California Management Review*, 61(3), 5–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619841006>
- Singh, A. P., & Dangmei, J. (2016). Understanding the generation Z: The future workforce. *South-Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Studies*, 3(3), 1–5.
- Stahl, G. K., Brewster, C. J., Collings, D. G., & Hajro, A. (2020). Enhancing the role of human resource management in corporate sustainability and social responsibility. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(3), 100708. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100708>
- Tanwar, K., & Kumar, A. (2019). Employer brand, person–organisation fit and employer of choice. *Personnel Review*, 48(3), 799–823. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PR-10-2017-0299>
- Vitellar, A. (2020). Like me: Generation Z and the use of social media for personal branding. *Management Dynamics in the Knowledge Economy*, 8(2), 257–268. <https://doi.org/10.2478/mdke-2020-0016>
- Ozkan, M., & Solmaz, B. (2015). The changing face of the employees – Generation Z and their perceptions of work. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 26, 476–483. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)00876-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00876-X)
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2019). *Multivariate data analysis* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2019). *Research methods for business: A skill-building approach* (8th ed.). Wiley.
- Field, A. (2018). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Kuvaas, B., Buch, R., & Dysvik, A. (2020). Individual variable pay for performance, incentive effects, and employee motivation. *Human Resource Management Journal*, 30(2), 321–336. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12265>

